UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,817	09/23/2005	Stefan Jatzke	TRW(AEC)7821	1774
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			EXAMINER	
			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
CLEVEVLANI	CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/550,817	JATZKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAMES N. SMALLEY	3781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. r.				
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/550,817 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 8 is withdrawn in view of the new grounds of rejection to Willibald '923, as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Willibald EP 0631923.

Examiner has provided a machine translation of this document, obtained online at Yahoo Babel Fish. The English abstract of Willibald '923 teaches a central closure section (10) and an engaging section (20), a surrounding lip (6), and a sealing lip (4). Examiner notes the claim is drawn to the plug for sealing holes in a vehicle body (emphasis added), and thus the claim is read to be only drawn to the plug, with the intended use of being applied to a vehicle. Therefore, the prior art device must only be capable of being used in the intended manner. Examiner asserts the device of Willibald '923, because it teaches all the claimed structural features of the instant invention, is thus capable of being used in the intended manner. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Examiner notes the translation, page 2, second-to-last sentence, which states "The sealing rim 4 subjected here the top [sic] side of the mother board and is stuck together at a certain temperature with the mother board..." Examiner hold this to be evidence of heat sealing, as the English abstract describes an adhesive connection. Examiner further asserts that any adhesive is at least slightly capable of being softened by heat, and even furthermore the fact that the Abstract discloses both are formed of plastics

indicates they can clearly be softened by heat. Examiner further notes the last sentence, which continues to the next page, noting that stop ring 6 prevents the plug from being "...pulled or squeezed out." Thus, regarding claims 2 and 5, the device is capable of producing an interlocking fit.

Regarding claim 6, the embodiment of figure 1 teaches the claimed shape.

Regarding claim 7, Examiner notes in the embodiment of figure 1, the annular recess located above ring (6) and below rim (4) is directed radially inwardly, and thus inherently comprises an interlocking fit between central closure section (10), and engaging section (20).

Regarding claim 8, Examiner notes the embodiment of figure 1 teaches the claimed structure, as a first surface is against the outer surface of the hollow cylindrical section (at 5) and the second surface (4) extends beyond the rim of the closure section (10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willibald EP 0631923.

Willibald '923 fails to explicitly teach the use of gluing to secure the closure section and engaging sections together.

Examiner takes Official Notice it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to secure the two elements together by gluing to ensure the two elements stayed together before use.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willibald EP 0631923 in view of Schmitz US 4,588,105.

Willibald '923 teaches all limitations substantially as claimed, but fails to teach the melt temperature of the sealant occurring between 150 and 200 degrees Celsius.

Schmitz '105 teaches a plug for an opening in an automobile panel, and discloses in column 4, line 18 that the melting can occur anywhere between 85 and 180 degrees Celsius. It would be desirable to use this material on the plug of Sick '022, which only teaches melting occurring between 100 and 135 degrees Celsius, in order to provide the manufacturer with a greater range of temperature operation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the plug of Sick '022, providing the sealant taught by Schmitz '105, motivated by the benefit of providing the manufacturer with a greater temperature range of operation. Furthermore, Examiner notes it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES N. SMALLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,817 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James N Smalley/ Examiner, Art Unit 3781

/Nathan J. Newhouse/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782